JUDICARY OF THE IMPERIAL FEDERATION

XSYROK *v.* SOMEBODY_228

Case #25.8.1

FACTS OF THE CASE

Argued: July 31, 2025 — August 12, 2025
Decided: August 12, 2025

Plaintiff(s): xsyrok (@xsyyrok)
Counsel: Softkitten5 (@rs_soft)

Defendant(s): Somebody_228 (@sombyy_88522)
Counsel: RayZ101 (@rayz9017)

Witnesses: His Imperial Majesty, King Jalhf I (@jalhf)

Presiding Judge: The Honorable JPyesthatme, Senate Judge (@jpyesthatme)

Plea: Not liable
Found: Liable

CASE SUMMARY

On July 30, 2025, at 19:49 UTC, xsyrok (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") brought a case before the Judiciary alleging that Somebody_228 (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") violated Section 3(1) of the Imperial Federation Legal Code by committing Breach of Contract, a civil offense.



NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

Specifically, Plaintiff claimed that he had contractually employed Defendant to demolish the entirety of the town of Wormburg, Soderrike, for 200 diamonds. However, Plaintiff alleged that Defendant did not complete the task contractually assigned to him and thereafter had repeatedly refused to return 54 diamonds' worth of tools financed by Plaintiff, which had the purpose to be used in the demolition of Wormburg.

Plaintiff therefore petitioned the Judiciary to order Defendant to return the 54 diamonds, since they were transferred to BloodyJokerJet (@madman_jet) and were not used to buy tools. Defendant responded with a plea of not liable, and Senate Judge JPyesthatme agreed to hear a case and preside over a trial on July 31, 2025.

RULING AND COURT ORDERS

The presiding Judge finds Defendant liable for Breach of Contract and orders him to pay Plaintiff 54 diamonds within seven days after the issuance of this document.

JUDICIAL REMARKS AND EXPLANATION

In order for me to ascertain in this case whether there was a Breach of Contract on the part of Defendant to the detriment of Plaintiff, four points must be proved: (i) the terms of a "written agreement" between the contracting parties, if they existed; (ii) that both parties agreed to those terms; (iii) that Defendant violated one of more of those terms; and (iv) that the violation caused "materially significant consequences."

Plaintiff proved the first and second points with Figure 3 (see section EVIDENCE PRESENTED), which shows that Defendant asked Plaintiff on July 24, 2025, whether the latter agreed to pay the former 200 diamonds in exchange for the demolition of "the whole city" of Wormburg, to which Plaintiff answered in the affirmative. A reasonable reading of this conversation indicates that Defendant offered to demolish the entirety of the town of Wormburg in exchange for Plaintiff paying him 200 diamonds; hence, these are the terms of a "written agreement" between the

Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

two parties, for the prior case *Maugate Corp. v. Softkitten5*[1] demonstrated that a "written agreement" can come into being purely through Discord conversations. Therefore, Plaintiff proved that the terms of the "written agreement" between himself and Defendant were that Defendant would demolish the whole town of Wormburg and that Plaintiff would monetarily compensate Defendant for his labor with 200 diamonds.

Both parties proved the third point in this case since they stated plainly that Defendant did not demolish the whole town of Wormburg; hence, Defendant violated the terms of the "written agreement" mentioned in the foregoing paragraph by not demolishing the whole town of Wormburg by the time that this case had been brought before the Judiciary.

The fourth point proved itself in this case, for because the Defendant did not and continues not to work toward the complete demolition of Wormburg, as he had agreed to on July 24, significant portions of the town are still intact, a fact that to any reasonable person constitutes "materially significant consequences."

All four points having been proved in this case, I hold that a Breach of Contract, as defined by Section 3(1) of the Imperial Federal Legal Code, did happen on the part of Defendant to the detriment of Plaintiff; and I therefore issue the ruling and court order stated in the foregoing section of this document, in line with the request for damages made by Plaintiff. Although Plaintiff specifically requested that the Judiciary order the return of the 54 diamonds specifically handed to BloodyJokerJet, I see no reason for those specific diamonds to be paid to Plaintiff. I therefore only order that 54 diamonds in general be paid to Plaintiff by Defendant.

A few more words should be said about other points brought up during this case. Although evidence from Figures 1 and 6 shows that Defendant sought to change the terms of the agreement, a change of terms to an already established "written agreement" requires the consent of all contracting parties, which was not evidenced in this case. A "written agreement" had already come into being on July 24, when, as evidenced in Figure 3, Plaintiff answered in the affirmative to whether he and Defendant had an agreement on the complete demolition of Wormburg in exchange for 200

[1] See https://discord.com/channels/1014544662285013053/1366159899578466304 for the case.

Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

diamonds. Once an agreement comes into being, as one did on July 24, its terms may thereafter be changed only with the consent of all parties.

Furthermore, although there was not shown an evidenced agreement between the parties as to when Defendant was to be paid the 200 diamonds, clarity of this nature is not, to me, required. People are hired to do manual labor for others quite often on CivMC, and it is not always that the contracting parties agree on when precisely one party is to be monetarily compensated. *Maugate Corp.* is again of relevance here, for never in that case was it evidently shown that any contracting parties had agreed precisely on when one party was to be paid if they were victorious in the bet on the April 2025 federal elections. Yet, the presiding Judge of that case held that there had indeed been a "written agreement"; hence, a "written agreement" need not contain an explicit temporal requirement of monetary compensation if such compensation is a part of said agreement.

Moreover, I am unconvinced that Plaintiff pressured Defendant to begin work as soon as possible. Insisting that someone begin work immediately does not constitute pressure, nor does insisting that someone be paid after they work as opposed to before or during their labor. Also, there was no evidence presented to show that Defendant was pressured into the July 24 agreement he had made with Plaintiff, so such agreement was made with the consent of both parties without any coercion.

Decision Issued by *JPyesthatme*
August 12, 2025

See the following pages for the evidence presented during the case. See the last page for the full transcript of the trial.

Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation


NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

JUDICARY OF THE IMPERIAL FEDERATION

EVIDENCE PRESENTED

PRESENTED BY PLAINTIFF


Figure 1



Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.


Figure 2



Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.


Figure 3



Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

Figure 4



Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

PRESENTED BY DEFENDANT

Figure 5




Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

PRESENTED BY PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT

Figure 6




[2]

[2] Although this screenshot does not show the date during which this conversation took place, Plaintiff granted me access to the group chat in which it had happened, and I verified that the conversation happened on July 30, 19:20 UTC.

Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

Figure 7



Approved by a federal judge
Judiciary of the
Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.

JUDICARY OF THE IMPERIAL FEDERATION

Full Trial Transcript

The full trial of this case being exceedingly long, the full transcript thereof is recorded in this video: https://youtu.be/gAckECIhmMs.

Plaintiff's opening statement can be found here: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uKf6iohzJQZeVQm7C7RsOqTwsiYr95z3jy-abHh0oiI/edit?usp=sharing.

The full group chat on the demolition of Wormburg is recorded in this video: https://youtu.be/x7k_pmRCJGo.

Approved by a federal judge

Judiciary of the Imperial Federation

NOTICE: This document serves as an official record of the proceedings and ruling of this case. Readers are requested to notify the Judiciary of any typographical or other formal or formatting errors found herein.